

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

TOMAREY PATTERSON,

Plaintiff(s),

vs.

DCFS TRACY HARRINGTON, et al.,

Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:15-cv-02007-JCM-NJK

ORDER

(Docket No. 5)

Concurrently herewith, the Court is issuing orders granting Plaintiff's application to proceed *in forma pauperis* and dismissing Plaintiff's complaint with leave to amend. Also pending before the Court is Plaintiff's motion for a "cease and desist order." See Docket No. 5. The precise relief sought through that motion is not clear. Nonetheless, the pending motion is **DENIED** without prejudice in light of the procedural posture of this case.<sup>1</sup> In the event Plaintiff is found to have stated a viable claim in an amended complaint, he may renew the instant request for relief.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: January 28, 2016

  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 NANCY J. KOPPE  
 United States Magistrate Judge

<sup>1</sup> The motion is also **DENIED** because it was not properly signed as required by the rules of this Court. See, e.g., Fed. R. Civ. P. 11(a). The Court will not recognize a thumb print as a proper signature. See Docket No. 5 at 3:26. All future filings by Plaintiff must include a signature.